

Journal of Applied Research in Plant Protection 11(3): 109-119 (2022)-Research Article

DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.22034/arpp.2021.15445

# Functional response of Anisopteromalus calandrae, a parasitoid of cowpea weevil Callosobruchus maculatus: effects of the host plant and patch complexity

Masoomeh Moosavi, Nooshin Zandi-Sohani<sup>∞</sup>, Ali Rajab Pour

Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources University of Khuzestan, Mollasani, Ahvaz, Iran. Zandi@asnrukh.ac.ir

Received: 18 Aug 2021 Revised: 11 Sep 2021 Accepted: 3 Oct 2021

#### Abstract

The functional response of ectoparsitoid wasp *Anisopteromalus calandrae* parasitizing the 4<sup>th</sup> instar larvae of the cowpea weevil, *Callosobruchus maculatus* was investigated over host plant and complex patch treatments in the laboratory. In the first group of experiments, the effect of two different host plants including cowpea *Vigna unguiculata* L. and chickpea *Cicer arietinum* was studied on the functional response of the parasitoid wasp. In the second series of tests, the influence of patch complexity was investigated using chickpea as the host plant. According to the results of the logistic regression, the functional response for *A. calandrae* when attacking 4<sup>th</sup> instar larvae of *C. maculatus* on both chickpea and cowpea, and also in the complex patches of the host was type II. The search rates of the parasitoid were estimated 0.0488 and 0.0378 h<sup>-1</sup>, and the handling times were calculated to be 2.0962 and 2.1804 h in chickpea and cowpea treatments, respectively. In the complex patch using chickpea seeds as host plant, the estimated search rate value for *A. calandrae* (0.0269 h<sup>-1</sup>) decreased in comparison with a simple patch (0.0488 h<sup>-1</sup>); however, the difference was not significant. These results can provide useful information for the biological control of the cowpea weevil in silos and store places.

Keywords: Cowpea weevil, Handling time, Pteromalidae, Search rate

# واکنش تابعی زنبور Anisopteromalus calandrae پارازیتوئید سوسک چهارنقطهای حبوبات Callosobruchus maculatus: تاثیر گیاه میزبان و پیچیدگی لکه معصومه موسوی، نوشین زندی سوهانی<sup>⊠</sup>، علی رجبپور

دریافت: ۱۴۰۰/۵/۲۷ بازنگری: ۱۴۰۰/۶/۲۰ پذیرش: ۱۴۰۰/۷/۱۱

گروه گیاهپزشکی، دانشکده کشاورزی، دانشگاه علوم کشاورزی و منابع طبیعی خوزستان، ملاثانی، اهواز، ایران. zandi@asnrukh.ac.ir

#### چکیدہ

واکنش تابعی زنبور پارازیتوئید Anisopteromalus calandrae که لاروهای سن چهارم سوسک چهارنقطهای حبوبات Callosobruchus maculatus را پارازیته می کند، روی میزبانهای گیاهی مختلف و لکههای با پیچیدگی متفاوت در شرایط آزمایشگاهی مورد بررسی قرار گرفت. در آزمایش اول، اثر دو میزبان گیاهی مختلف شامل لوبیا چشم بلبلی Vigna unguiculata و نخود Cicer arietinum روی واکنش تابعی زنبور پارازیتوئید بررسی شد. در آزمایش اول، اثر دو میزبان گیاهی مختلف شامل لوبیا چشم بلبلی Vigna unguiculata و نخود Cicer arietinum روی واکنش تابعی زنبور پارازیتوئید بررسی شد. در آزمایش اول، اثر دو دوم، تاثیر پیچیدگی لکه بر واکنش تابعی زنبور با استفاده از لوبیا چشم بلبلی به عنوان میزبان گیاهی مورد بررسی قرار گرفت. براساس نتایج رگرسیون لوم، تاثیر پیچیدگی لکه بر واکنش تابعی زنبور با استفاده از لوبیا چشم بلبلی به عنوان میزبان گیاهی مورد بررسی قرار گرفت. براساس نتایج رگرسیون لوم، تاثیر پیچیدگی لکه بر واکنش تابعی زنبور با استفاده از لوبیا چشم بلبلی به عنوان میزبان گیاهی مورد بررسی قرار گرفت. براساس نتایج رگرسیون لوم، تاثیر پیچیدگی لکه بر واکنش تابعی زنبور با استفاده از لوبیا چشم بلبلی به عنوان میزبان گیاهی مورد بررسی قرار گرفت. در آزمایش لول، اثر دو میزبان لوم، تاثیر پیچیدگی لکه بر واکنش تابعی زنبور با استفاده از لوبیا چشم بلبلی و نخود به ترتیب در تعمار اوبیا چشم بلبلی و نخود به ترتیب در آزمایش اول، از نوع دوم بود. نرخ جستجوگری پارازیتوئید در تیمار لوبیا چشم بلبلی و نخود به ترتیب ۲۰۹۶٬ و ۲۰۱۸۴ ساعت محاسبه شد. نرخ جستجوگری زنبور پارازیتوئید .A

كلمات كليدى: نرخ جستجو، زمان دستيابى، سوسك چهارنقطهاى حبوبات، Pteromalidae

How to cite:

Moosavi M, Zandi-Sohani N, Rajab Pour A, 2022. Functional response of *Anisopteromalus calandrae*, a parasitoid of cowpea weevil *Callosobruchus maculatus*: Effects of the host plant and patch complexity. *Journal of Applied Research in Plant Protection* 11 (3): 109–119.

### Introduction

The cowpea weevil, *Callosobruchus maculatus*, Fabricius (coleopteran: Chrysomedidae) is the most important postharvest pest of the cowpea and other legumes especially in tropical regions of the world. The pest is able to infest both pods in the field and seeds in the storage. Their larvae penetrate into the seeds and feed from the inside (Kpoviessi *et al.* 2019).

calandrae Howard Anisopteromalus (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae) is a well-known and cosmopolitan ectoparasitoid wasp that parasitizes the larvae and pupae of several species of coleopteran pests. The hosts of A. calandrae usually hide within the host food (Ghimire & Phillips 2014). Most of the host species are stored-product pests. Therefore, A. calandrae is considered as a potential biological control agent in stores and silos (Kasamatsu & Abe 2015). Some characteristics such as high fecundity and strong flying ability make the wasp as one of the promising candidates for biological control (Ghimire & Phillips 2014). Several species of stored grain pests for example Sitophilus granarius (L.), Trogoderma granarium Everts, Sithophilus oryzae L., Sithophilus zaemais Motsch., Rhyzopertha dominica (Fab.), and Callosobruchus species are attacked by the parasitoid (Ahmed 1996; Ngamo et al. 2007). During parasitism, A. calandrae paralyzes the host larva and lays a single egg on or near the larva. Only one parasitoid grows on each host larva which consumes the body fluid of the host for its development (Belda & Riudavets 2010).

A parasitoid's functional response describes the relationship between the number of hosts parasitized and initial host density (Solomon 1949). The assumptions made are the parasitoid search is random and the host population is distributed at random and is homogenous. Two parameters of the coefficient of instantaneous search rate and handling time are among the criteria used for assessing the potential of a parasitoid (Holling 1959). Three different types of functional response have been defined, types I, II, and III. In type I functional response a linear increase in host numbers attacked occurs with an increase in host population density. In type II response, the correlation between the attack rate and host population density is curvilinear and in type III, the form of the curve is sigmoid (Hassell 1978). Functional response plays an important role in understanding the behavior of biological control agents and is considered as a measure in selecting appropriate agents for biological control programs (Lester & Harmsen 2002; Madadi et al. 2007).

Several biotic and abiotic factors including temperature, humidity, host species, host plant cultivar, and the age of parasitoid have been reported that affect the type and parameters of functional response of a parasitoid (Allahyari *et al.* 2004; Moezipour *et al.* 2008; Cetintas & McAuslane 2009; Asadi *et al.* 2012; Joodaki *et al.* 2018). The effect of temperature on the



functional response of A. calandrae has been investigated in some previous studies (Smith 1994; Menon et al. 2002). There are also some previous reports related to the role of the host plant or habitat complicity on the other parasitoid wasps (Gols et al. 2005; Soler et al. 2007; Grieshop et al. 2008; Gibb & Parr 2010; Kruidhof et al. 2015). For example, in a study on the parasitoid wasp Cotesia glomerata (L.) (Hymenoptera: Braconidae), natural vegetation surrounding Pieris brassica infested plants had a negative influence on the foraging efficiency of the parasitoid under semi-field conditions (Kruidhof et al. 2015). The searching efficiency of semiclausum Diadegma Hellen (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae) reduced under habitat complexity conditions (Gols et al. 2005). Studies on the effects of microhabitat complexity foraging by three species on host of showed the potential *Trichogramma* spp. negative effects of fine-grain habitat complexity (Grieshop et al. 2008). To the best of our knowledge, there is no information about the effect of other factors except temperature on the searching efficiency and functional response of A. calandrae. The current study aimed to determine the effect of different host plants and patch complexity on the functional response of A. calandrae to different densities of C. maculatus.

## **Materials and Methods**

The study was carried out in the Entomology laboratory of Agricultural Sciences and Natural

Sciences University of Khuzestan in the southwest of Iran. The experiments were performed at  $30 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C,  $65 \pm 5\%$  R.H. and dark condition.

# Host and Parasitoid rearing

A stock culture of *C. maculatus* was maintained on three different plant hosts of cowpea *Vigna unguiculata* L., chickpea *Cicer arietinum* L., and mung bean *V. radiata* (L.) R. Wilczek, in separate plastic containers (8 cm diameter  $\times$  20 cm height) with proper ventilation. Uninfested beans were used as food for insect pest and they were reared for several generations at laboratory. The maintenance conditions of colonies were similar to those mentioned above for the experiments. The colony of the wasp, *A. calandrae*, was reared on 4<sup>th</sup> instar larvae of *C. maculatus* on cowpea, the preferred host of the pest, at the same condition of the *C. maculatus*.

# Experimental design

*Effect of different host plants on functional response:* Kernels of cowpea, chickpea, and mung bean were infested by allowing *C. maculatus* adult females (1-3 days-old) to oviposit for 48 h in three different containers. For oviposition 500 g of kernels was offered to females in a 1000 ml plastic container with ventilation. Then, the cowpea seeds were examined for *C. maculatus* eggs and after assurance of oviposition the females were removed. One egg was maintained on each seed and the extra eggs were removed by an insect pin. The seeds were kept in the containers for 18 days at 30°C until the larvae reached the 4<sup>th</sup> instar (the preferred age for *A. calandrae*) before starting the experiments.

To evaluate the functional response of A. calandrae, different densities of 2, 4, 8, 16, 30, 40, and 50 fourth instar larvae of C. mculatus were offered to mated females of the parasitoid (48 hours old) at the experimental condition. Separate tests were carried out on each type of seed. The test arena was a cylindrical transparent container  $(7 \times 9 \text{ cm})$  with proper ventilation. The parasitoids were allowed to find and parasitize the host larvae for 24h and then they were removed. Afterward, C. maculatus larvae placed in incubators with constant temperature until the adult parasitoids emergence. The number of the emerged wasps was recorded. Ten replications were considered for each host density and each treatment.

*Effect of patch complexity on functional response:* In another series of functional response tests, the effect of patch complexity was investigated in the laboratory. In this experiment, chickpea was used as a host plant to feed the larvae of *C. maculatus*. In each density of *C. maculatus* larvae offered to *A. calandae* females (2, 4, 8, 16, 30, 40, and 50) equal numbers of uninfested kernels were added to make the searching arena of parasitoid more complicated. For example, at the density of 2 larvae, two uninfested kernels were added to the experiment.

The rest of the test conditions were similar to those mentioned above.

*Statistical analysis:* Two separate stages of analysis were conducted to determine the effects of different host plants and patch complexity on the functional responses of parasitoids. In the first step, logistic regression analysis of the proportion of parasitized larvae as a function of initial density indicated the type of functional response (Juliano 2001). This is done by fitting a polynomial function:

 $\frac{N_a}{N_0} = \frac{\exp(P_0 + P_1 N_0 + P_2 N_0^2 + P_3 N_0^3)}{1 + \exp(P_0 + P_1 N_0 + P_2 N_0^2 + P_3 N_0^3)} \quad (1)$ 

Where  $N_a$  is the number of parasitized hosts,  $N_0$  is the initial number of hosts offered, and  $P_0, P_1, P_2$ , and  $P_3$  are the parameters to be estimated. These parameters were calculated using the method of maximum likelihood (PROC CATMOD, SAS Institute 2001). The type of functional determined response was by examining the signs of  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ . If  $P_1$  is positive and  $P_2$  is negative, the data describe a type III of functional response, but if  $P_1$  is negative and  $P_2$ is positive, the type of functional response is II (Juliano 2001). In the second step, for calculation of the functional response parameters, nonlinear least-squares regression (PROC NLIN; SAS Institute Inc., 2001) was used to fit Roger's random attack model, which describes a type II or III functional response (Rogers 1972; Juliano 2001). Roger's random attack model is an appropriate choice for analysis as host density was depleted during the experiments and it was not constant (Juliano 2001).

The data from the above test fitted the type II functional response; thus, Roger's type II equation was used to determine the parameters as follows:

 $N_a = N_0 \{1 - \exp[a(T_h N_a - T)]\} (2)$ 

Where  $N_a$  is the number of parasitized hosts,  $N_0$  is the initial number of hosts, a is the instantaneous searching efficiency (attack rate), T is the total amount time available for searching (24h), and  $T_h$  is the handling time.

The maximum parasitism rate  $(T/T_h)$ , describes the maximum number of hosts that can be parasitized by a single parasitoid during 24h, was calculated using the estimated  $T_h$ (Hassell, 2000).

### Results

The preliminary experiments showed that the mung bean, *V. radiata*, was not a proper host for

*A. calandrae* development and no parasitoid wasp emerged from the *C. maculatus* larvae parasitized on the kernel. Therefore, the functional response experiments continued on chickpea and cowpea.

# Effect of different host plants on functional response

The results of the logistic regression revealed a type II functional response for *A. calandrae* when attacking 4<sup>th</sup> instar larvae of *C. maculatus* on both chickpea and cowpea according to the negative sign of the linear term of the equation (Table 1). The mean number of parasitized larvae of *C. maculatus* increased with increasing the number of host individuals offered in both treatments; however, the proportion of host parasitized to the initial number of hosts decreased with increasing the number of hosts offered (Figure 1).

Table 1	<ul> <li>Results of logisti</li> </ul>	c regression	analysis of the	proportion of	f 4 <sup>th</sup> instar	larvae of (	Callosobruchus	maculatus	parasitized
by Anise	opteromalus calan	<i>idrae</i> on two	host plants.						

Host plant	Parameters	Estimate	SE	P value	
Chickpea	Constant	0.9917	0.3617	0.0076	
	Linear	-0.1240	0.0549	0.0240	
	Quadratic	0.00249	0.00218	0.2537	
	Cubic	-0.00002	0.000025	0.4313	
Cowpea	Constant	0.2638	0.3575	0.4606	
	Linear	-0.0721	0.0542	0.1833	
	Quadratic	0.00134	0.00217	0.5372	
	Cubic	-0.00001	0.000025	0.6021	





**Figure 1.** Functional responses of *Anisoteromlus calandrae* females on different densities of 4<sup>th</sup> instar larvae of *Callosobruchus maculatus* on cowpea (Up) and chickpea (down); Symbols are observed data and lines were predicted by model (equation 2).

It was indicated that there were no significant differences in the calculated amounts of instantaneous search rate and handling time of *A*. *calandrae* between chickpea and cowpea treatments according to asymptotic 95% confidence intervals (Table 2). The search rates of parasitoid were estimated 0.0488 and 0.0378h<sup>-1</sup>, and the handling times were calculated to be 2.0962 and 2.1804 h in chickpea and cowpea treatments, respectively.

**Table 2.** Parameters for type II functional response model for *Anisopteromalus calandrae* females parasitize 4<sup>th</sup> instar larvae of *Callosobruchus maculatus* on two host plants.

				Asymptotic 95% CI			
Host plant	Parameter	Estimate	SE	Lower	Upper	T/T <sub>h</sub>	$\mathbb{R}^2$
Chickpea	a	0.0488	0.00140	0.0209	0.0768	11.45	0.88
	$T_{h}$	2.0962	0.2387	1.6199	2.5725		
Cowpea	a	0.0378	0.00908	0.0169	0.0559	11.00	0.61
_	$T_{h}$	2.1804	0.2436	1.6942	2.666		

*Effect of patch complexity on functional response* According to the logistic regression, type II functional response was determined for *A*. *calandrae* when it searched for *C. maculatus* larvae in a complex patch of chickpea (Table 3).

**Table 3.** Results of logistic regression analysis of the proportion of 4<sup>th</sup> instar larvae of *Callosobruchus maculatus* parasitized by *Anisopteromalus calandrae* in a complex patch of chickpea.

Parameter	Estimate	SE	P value	
Constant	0.3937	0.3593	0.2732	
Linear	-0.1171	0.0548	0.0327	
Quadratic	0.00308	0.00210	0.1611	
Cubic	-0.00003	0.000025	0.2338	

The functional response curve showed that the average number of hosts parasitized increased at

first by increasing the host densities and then reached a constant level (Figure 2).



**Figure 2.** Functional responses of female *Anisoteromlus calandrae* on different densities of 4<sup>th</sup> instar larvae of *Callosobruchus maculatus* on chickpea in a complex patch; Symbols are observed data and lines were predicted by model (equation 2).

The patch complexity did not affect the type of functional response of *A. calandrae*. The estimated search rate value for *A. calandrae* showed that in the complex patch this parameter decreased (0.0269  $h^{-1}$ ) in comparison with a simple patch (0.0488  $h^{-1}$ ). However, the

difference was not significant according to 95% confidence intervals (Tables 2 and 4). The values of *A. calandrae* handling time were 1.8379 h in the complex patch and 2.0962 h in the simple patch and no significant difference was observed (Tables 2 and 4).



			Asymptotic 95% CI			
Parameters	Estimate	Asymptotic SE	Lower	Upper	T/T <sub>h</sub>	r <sup>2</sup>
a	0.0269	0.00657	0.0138	0.0400	12.05	0.78
$T_{h}$	1.8379	0.3055	1.2283	2.4475	15.05	

**Table 4.** Parameters for type II functional response model for *Anisopteromalus calandrae* females parasitize 4<sup>th</sup> instar larvae of *Callosobruchus maculatus* on chickpea in a complex patch of chickpea.

### Discussion

It is believed that functional response is an important element in decision making for the most suitable biocontrol agents (Fernandaz-Arhex & Corely 2003). In this study, type II functional response was reported for A. calandrae and it was not affected by different host plants and patch complexity. This type of functional response has been previously reported for the parasitoids from the family Pteromalidae; e.g. A. calandrae (Smith 1994; Menon et al. 2002) and Theocolax elegans (Westwood) (Flinn & Hagstrum 2002) at different temperature treatments when offered various host species from stored product pests. According to previous studies more than three-quarters of insect parasitoids showed type II functional response (Fernandaz-Arhex & Corely 2003). The scarcity of the type III functional response in parasitoids may be due to the experimental artifact particularly experimental conditions; when parasitoids are kept in a small arena with their hosts for a fixed period and in such situations,

parasitoids usually exhibit type II functional response (Van Lenteren & Bakker 1978).

In the current study, the search rate of A. calandrae on two different host plants indicated a minor decrease in the cowpea treatment when compared to the chickpea treatment. The reason may be due to the various odors that emerged from the two different kernels upon damage by the insect pest. After injured by herbivores, plants expand their volatile production, and modify the odor constituents, and release a new composition which is called herbivore-induced plant volatiles (McCormick et al. 2012). This new compound is effortlessly perceptible by parasitoids and can attract them from long distances (Braasch & Kaplan 2012). Since there is considerable variation in volatile composition among plant species and other taxonomic levels, the parasitoids' innate response to the herbivoreinduced plant volatiles is different and they may prefer blends of certain host- infested plants species (Knudsen et al. 2006). Comparing the search rate and handling time of A. calandrae in two different host plant treatments in the current



study with previous reports showed a difference at the same temperature. At  $30^{\circ C}$ , the search rate and handling time of *A. calandrae* was 0.136 h<sup>-1</sup> and 1.27h (Menon *et al.* 2002), respectively. There may be several reasons for these differences such as alteration in experimental conditions, host species, the age of the parasitoid, and the host plant (Byeon *et al.* 2011; Asadi *et al.* 2012).

In the current study, although the difference between attack rates in a simple and complex patch was not significant, a distinct decrease was observed in the complex patch. When the patch was simple it was easy for parasitoids to find the host. However, in the case of the complex patch, the attack rate decreased because the C. maculatus larvae dispersed in the patch and it was difficult to find them. These findings are in line with Khan et al. (2016) who reported that **Aphelinus** asychis Walker (Hemiptera: Aphididae) in small plant canopies consumes less energy and attacks more aphids easily but in dense canopies, it expends more energy and due to this reason the attack rate was less in dense canopies. Hauzy et al. (2010) believe that plants with more branches provided more obstacles to predators, leading to decreasing attack rates. Moreover, host aggregation levels affect the foraging behavior of parasitoids and it seems that in females experiencing a higher level of host aggregation, the foraging passion is more powerful (Louâpre *et al.* 2019).

Information from this study showed that the functional response of *A. calandrae* did not vary significantly by alteration of the host plant and patch complexity. These facts help develop biological control programs for stored grains.

## Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources University of Khuzestan, Iran, for the financial support of this research project.

#### References

- Ahmed KS, 1996. Studies on the ectoparasitoid, Anisopteromalus calandrae How. (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae) as a biocontrol agent against the lesser grain borer, Rhyzopertha dominica (Fab.) in Saudi Arabia. Journal of Stored Product Research 32: 137–140.
- Asadi R, Talebi AA, Khalghani J, Fathipour Y, Moharramipour S, *et al.*, 2012. Age-specific functional response of *Psyllaephagus zdeneki* (Hymenoptera: Encyrtidae), parasitoid of *Euphyllura pakistanica* (Hemiptera: Psyllidae). *Journal of Crop Protection* 1: 1–15.
- Allahyari H, Fard P, Nozari J, 2004. Effects of host on functional response of offspring in two populations of *Trissolcus grandis* on the sunn pest. *Journal of Applied Entomology* 128: 39–43.
- Belda C, Riudavets J, 2010. Attraction of the parasitoid *Anisopteromalus calandrae* (Howard) (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae) to odors from grain and stored product pests in a Y-tube olfactometer. *Biological Control* 54: 29–34.



- Braasch J, Kaplan I, 2012. Over what distance are plant volatiles bioactive? Estimating the spatial dimensions of attraction in an arthropod assemblage. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata* 145: 115–123.
- Byeon YW, Tuda M, Kim JH, Choi MY, 2011.
  Functional responses of aphid parasitoids, *Aphidius colemani* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) and *Aphelinus asychis* (Hymenoptera: Aphelinidae). *Biocontrol Science and Technology* 21: 57–70.
- Cetintas R, McAuslane H, 2009. Effectiveness of parasitoids of *Bemisia tabaci* (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) on cotton cultivars differing in leaf morphology. *Florida Entomologist* 92: 538–547.
- Fernández-arhex V, Corley JC, 2003. The functional response of parasitoids and its implications for biological control. *Biocontrol Science and Technology* 13: 403–413.
- Flinn PW, Hagstrum DW, 2002. Temperature-mediated functional response of *Theocolax elegans* (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae) parasitizing *Rhyzopertha dominica* (Coleoptera: Bostrichidae) in stored wheat. *Journal of Stored Product Research* 38: 185–190.
- Grieshop MJ, Flinn PW, Nechols JR, Campbell JF, 2008. Effects of fine-grain habitat complexity on egg parasitism by three species of *Trichogramma. Biological Control* 45: 328–336.
- Gibb H, Parr CL, 2010. How does habitat complexity affect ant foraging success? A test using functional measures on three continents. *Oecologia* 164: 1061– 1073.
- Ghimire MN, Phillips TW, 2014. Suitability of five species of stored-product insects as hosts for development and reproduction of the parasitoid *Anisopteromalus calandrae* (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae). *Journal of Economic Entomology* 100: 1732–1739.
- Gols R, Bukovinszky T, Hemerik L, Harvey JA, Van Lenteren JC, *et al.*, 2005. Reduced foraging efficiency of a parasitoid under habitat complexity: implications for population stability and species

coexistence. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 74: 1059–1068.

- Hassell MP, 1978. The dynamics of arthropod predatorprey systems. Princeton University Press, Princeton.
- Hassell MP, 2000. Host-parasitoid population dynamics. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 69: 543–566.
- Hauzy C, Tully T, Spataro T, Paul G, Arditi R, 2010. Spatial heterogeneity and functional response: an experiment in microcosms with varying obstacle densities. *Oecologia* 163: 625–636.
- Holling CS, 1959. Some characteristics of simple type of predation and parasitism. *Canadian Entomologist* 91: 358–398.
- Joodaki R, Zandi-Sohani N, Zarghami S, Yarahmadi F, 2018. Temperature-dependent functional response of *Aenasius bambawalei* (Hymenoptera: Encyrtidae) to different population densities of the cotton mealybug *Phenacoccus solenopsis* (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae). *European Journal of Entomology* 115: 326–331.
- Juliano SA, 2001. Nonlinear curve fitting: predation and functional response curves. In: Scheiner SM, Gurevitch J (Eds.) Design and analysis of ecological experiments, Chapman and Hall, New York, Pp. 178–196.
- Kasamatsu E, Abe J, 2015. Influence of body size on fecundity and sperm management in the parasitoid wasp *Anisopteromalus calandrae*. *Physiological Entomology* 40: 223–231.
- Khan MAZ, Qi-Fu L, Liu TX, 2016. Effect of Plant Canopy on Functional Response of the Parasitoid *Aphelinus asychis* (Walker) (Hymenoptera: Aphelinidae) against *Myzus persicae* (Sulzer) (Hemiptera: Aphididae). *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control* 26: 527–531.
- Knudsen JT, Eriksson R, Gershenzon J, Stahl B, 2006.Diversity and distribution of floral scent. *Botanical Review* 72: 1–120.
- Kpoviessi D, Agbahoungba S, Agoyi EE, Chougourou DC, Assogbadjo AE, 2019. Resistance of cowpea to cowpea bruchid (*Callosobruchus maculatus* Fab.): knowledge level on the genetic



advances. Journal of Plant Breeding and Crop Science 11: 185–195.

- Kruidhof HM, Roberts AL, Magdaraog P, Muñoz D, Gols R, *et al.*, 2015. Habitat complexity reduces parasitoid foraging efficiency, but does not prevent orientation towards learned host plant odours. *Oecologia* 179: 353–361.
- Lester PJ, Harmsen R, 2002. Functional and numerical responses do not always indicate the most effective predator for biological control: an analysis of two predators in a two-prey system. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 39: 455–468.
- Louâpre P, Le Lann C, Hance T, 2019. When parasitoids deal with the spatial distribution of their hosts: consequences for both partners. *Insect science* 26: 923–931.
- Madadi H, Enkegaard A, Brodsgaard HF, Kharrazi-Pakdel A, Mohaghegh J, *et al*, 2007. Host plant effects on the functional response of *Neoseiulus cucumeris* to onion thrips larvae. *Journal of Applied Entomology* 131: 728–733.
- McCormick AC, Unsicker SB, Gershenzon J, 2012. The specificity of herbivore-induced plant volatiles in attracting herbivore enemies. *Trends in Plant Science* 17: 303–310.
- Menon A, Flinn PW, Dover BA, 2002. Influence of temperature on the functional response of *Anisopteromalus calandrae* (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae), a parasitoid of *Rhyzopertha dominica* (Coleoptera: Bostrichidae). *Journal of*

Stored Product Research 38: 463–469. Moezipour M, Kafi M, Allahyari H, 2008. Functional response of *Trichogramma brassicae* at different temperatures and relative humidities. *Bulletin of Insectology* 61: 245–250.

- Ngamo TSL, Kouninki H, Ladang YD, Ngassoum MB, Mapongmestsem PM, et al., 2007. Potential of Anisopteromalus calandrae (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae) biocontrol agent of as Callosobruchus maculatus (F.)(Coleopetera: Bruchidae). African Journal of Agricultural Research 2: 168–172.
- Rogers D, 1972: Random search and insect population models. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 41: 369–383.
- Smith L, 1994. Temperature influences functional response of Anisopteromalus calandrae (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae) parasitizing maize weevil larvae in shelled corn. Annals of Entomological Society of America 87: 849–855.
- Soler R, Harvey JA, Bezemer TM, 2007. Foraging efficiency of a parasitoid of a leaf herbivore is influenced by root herbivory on neighbouring plants. *Functional Ecology* 21: 969–974.
- Solomon RL, 1949. An extension of control group design. *Psychological Bulletin* 46: 137–150.
- Van Lenteren JC, Bakker K, 1978. Behavioral aspects of the functional response of a parasite (*Pseudeucoila bochei* Weld) to its host (*Drosophila melanog-aster*). Netherlands Journal of Entomology 28: 213–233.



This is an open access article under the CC BY NC license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/2.0/)

